

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik



Tungsten Erosion by Arcs in ASDEX Upgrade V. Rohde¹, N.Endstrasser¹, U.v.Toussant¹, M.Balden¹, T.Lunt¹, R.Neu¹, A.Hakola², J.Bucalossi³ and the ASDEX Upgrade Team ¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Assoziation ,Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garchin ²VTT, Assoc.EURATOM-Tekes, P.O.B. 1000, 02044 VTT, Finland ³Ass. Euratom CEA, CEA/DSM/IRFM, Cadarache, 13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France} **Motivation** Post mortem tile analysis Real time observations Dust production by arcing



Motivation



1980: sputtering and arcing discussed as erosion processes (McCracken, J.Nucl.Mat., 93&94, 1980) change carbon PFCs : physical and chemical sputtering dominates few investigations on arcing, arcs only during transient phases
 2010: metal wall in divertor devices, H-mode with ELMs.

ASDEX-Upgrade: tungsten PFCs divertor, radiation cooling: Te ~ 10 eV sputtering only by impurities erosion by arcs depends on material: no threshold arc traces observed for C and W PFCs



	T _{melt}	λ	Er	Er
	С	W/mK	μg/C	at/C
С	3650	75	13.5	68.0*10 ¹⁶
W	3380	130	27.1	8.8*10 ¹⁶
erosion by arcing quite similar				



Is erosion by arcing negligible in future fusion devices?

ChinaWS 061210, Rohde

Rohde, CWS1012_01



Regions effected by arcing

arc traces are inhomogenious





Visual inspection after a campaign yields arc traces at many locations three kinds: irregular movement: Glow discharge cleaning accidentical arcs: between structures in B direction arcs on PFCs : this talk uni-polar arcs: plasma works as anode retro-grade movement perpendicular B observed at some locations number of arcs changes on short scales





Scanback camera





~ 4000 tiles are mounted as PFCs at AUG
 Strategy: select typical tiles by eye scan for optical analysis depth profiles of typical regions SEM on interessting locations
 96 tiles selected, removed and scanned high spatial resolution needed: 6 μm
 focus on inner divertor baffle: strongly demaged region tile with W coating, additional TiO₂ layer fast camera observation

picture of isolated tile different colors, layers ? cut out of picture typical arc traces splitting of traces allows to determine direction flawy structures at start of some traces: starting signature



Identification of traces



automatic trace identification needed to get comparable results:

- divide scan to 20 * 20
- identification of arcs by contrast/intensity /direction reliable criterion > independent from observer





arcs only as long tracs 5.3 % of surface eroded almost homogenous erosion on this tile lower limit for total erosion (pits ..) depth of traces needed





Identification of traces



automatic trace identification needed to get comparable results:

- divide scan to 20 * 20
- identification of arcs by contrast/intensity /direction reliable criterion > independent from observer





arcs only as long tracs 5.3 % of surface eroded almost homogenous erosion on this tile lower limit for total erosion (pits ..) depth of traces needed





Post Mortem Investigations

confocal laser scanning microscope



Tiles analyzed by confocal scanning microscope with integrated blue laser profilometer spatial resolution: 0.8 μm depth resolution : 10 nm scan area 1700 * 1700 μm

only parts of tiles scanned picture with full depth of sharpness 2-d depth profile

two kinds of arc traces
 - long structures :Type 2
 50 μm * 5 mm , > 5 μm depth
 orientated perpendicular B
 - dips :Type 1
 10 μm *10 μm, 5 μm depth

affected area obtained microscope 5.8 % optical 5.3 % of total surface

IPP



Post mortem analysis SEM measurements









Detailed analysis of traces optical observed arc w/o significant erosion region of low surface resistivity optical observed arc with erosion region of higher surface resistivity carbon substrate molten tungsten tungsten droplets



erosion enhanced by isolating layer



tiles from inner baffle region a: tungsten coated with TiO₂ on top b: tungsten coated

Ibb

Optical evaluation of tile:

> fraction of arc affected surface looks similar

depth profiles from characteristic areas > removed material

- TiO₂ tile: arc traces show erosion at whole tile
- pure tile : significant erosion only at deposited layers (25 %)

extrapolate to device ion beam analysis < 2e17 at/cm² M.Mayer et al., Phys.Scr. **T138** (2009) 014039

Erosion by arcs domiates locally physical sputtering



Arcing events

fast camera observation





time resolved measurements needed to identify arcing conditions life time of arcs $\sim 0.1 \,\mu s$ size of arcs $\sim 10 \,\mu m^2$ camera with high temporal and spatial resolution brings out arc

fast CMOS camera observing inner baffle field of view 32*32 mm on tile 8_6B1 spatial resolution 150*150 μ m²/pix time resolution 26845 fps (256*256 pix) exposition time 3 - 900 μ s #24739 - 25000 well conditioned #25359 - 25450 after boronisation



Real time observation

many events due to droplets ?

neutron

camera produces 20000 frames/shot automatic data evaluation local events identified and marked quantity of events strongly varieties from shot to shot

sum of all events during a discharge H-mode discharge

different kinds of events

- neutrons at image guide
- problems of camera
- arcs

arc orientated perpendicular B events more uniform

arcs produce droplets: 3500 K W-spheres strong IR radiation









Real time observation

Arcs are correlated with ELM's



Example of 5 MW H-mode discharge 11 events observed during discharge

average radiation on camera shows ELMs events during onset of ELM

ELM at inner baffle: strong density rise can trigger arcing







Dust: W Droplets





Filtered vacuum collection - (10 pcs.)

Adhesive carbon tabs (41 pcs.)

⇒ subsequent to filtered vacuum collection for dust collection ef for dust collection ef ficiency assessment

Si wafer collectors (5 pcs.)

⇒ installed before campaign

only results from Si wafers simple technique, easy to evaluate



installed at AUG and LHD under installation at DIII-D and JET



Dust: W Droplets

classification of dust



automatical detection and evaluation of dust particles (~ 60 000 up to now) classification up dust species PSI: 8 classes defined IAEA CRP: definiton of classes will allow to compare dust from different devices many dust particle contain W spheroids and flakes observed



IPP



Dust: W Droplets origin of W droplets



morphology of redeposited layers identical with W flakes
many W droplets found close to arc traces
> arcs produce significant amount of W dust









Summary



Tile analysis

arc traces found at different locations very inhomogenious evaluation sheme developed problem: classification of arcs

Real time observation

arcs observed radiation by W droplets or multiple arcs triggered by ELMs

arcing dominates dominate erosion at inner baffle weak erosion for pure tungsten (low surface resistivity) deposited / isolation layer enhances erosion strongly arcs at inner baffle produce 5-10 % of tungsten in AUG arcs produce W droplets and flakes significant fraction of dust observed

Future fusion reactors (ITER) will operate with ELMs and metal PFCs Role of arcs on PFC erosion and dust production is not yet clear intensified investigations needed