







# **EROSION AND RE-DEPOSITION OF W AND NI IN THE DIVERTOR AND MIDPLANE REGIONS OF ASDEX UPGRADE**

<u>Antti Hakola<sup>1</sup>, L. Aho-Mantila<sup>1</sup>, M. Airila<sup>1</sup>, S. Koivuranta<sup>1</sup>, K. Krieger<sup>2</sup>, J. Likonen<sup>1</sup>, V. Lindholm<sup>3</sup>,</u> M. Matikainen<sup>1</sup>, M. Mayer<sup>2</sup>, R. Neu<sup>2</sup>, V. Rohde<sup>2</sup>, K. Sugiyama<sup>2</sup>, and ASDEX Upgrade Team

<sup>1</sup>VTT, Fusion and Plasma Technology, Association Euratom-Tekes, P.O.B. 1000, FI-02044 VTT, Finland

<sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Euratom Association, Boltzmannstraße 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, P. O. B. 14100, FI-00076 AALTO, Finland



antti.hakola@vtt.fi

## **INTRODUCTION**

- Successful and safe operation of future fusion reactors requires
  - Sufficiently long lifetime of their plasma-facing components (PFCs)
  - Low accumulation of tritium in the reactor vessel
- We have addressed these issues by studying erosion and re-deposition of tungsten and nickel in ASDEX Upgrade during its 2008 and 2009 experimental operations

ASDEX Upgrade = full-W machine since  $2007 \Rightarrow$  **ITER-relevant environment** W high-Z material, Ni medium-Z material and a major component in steel

## RESULTS



- Erosion/re-deposition investigated using
  - > Marker tiles in the divertor region
    - $\Rightarrow$  campaign-integrated data, comparison with earlier results
  - > Marker probes exposed to L-mode discharges at the midplane  $\Rightarrow$  discharge-resolved data, modelling with the ERO code
- Tiles analyzed using Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) and Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS), probes with RBS only

## **EXPERIMENTS AND ANALYSES**

Plasma operations in 2008 and 2009

Campaign	Number of discharges	Plasma time	Number of boronizations
2008	726	3530 s	3
2009	1101	5275 s	3

## Strike-point distribution in 2008 and 2009



## Marker stripes on the tiles





- spectra fitted using the **SIMNRA program**
- in the example above (outer strike-point region), W remarkably eroded during the 2009 campaign and almost the whole Ni coating is gone

#### **Erosion of W and Ni in the divertor region** (results normalized to 3000 s of plasma time)







- erosion of W up to 1  $\mu$ m, largest erosion around the outer strike point (tile 1)
- erosion by arcing in tiles 6A, 6B, and 5; net deposition on tile 4
- Ni eroded 5 times faster than W
- re-deposition of W largest in the private flux regions below the strike points, re-deposition on C larger than on Ni by a factor of 2
- saturation of erosion in 2009? But sharper outer strike-point distribution in 2008!

#### **Erosion of W and Ni at the midplane**



 $\tau_{\rm flat-top} \approx 3 \text{ s}, I_{\rm p} = 0.8 \text{ MA}, B_{\rm t} = -2.3 \text{ T},$  $n_{\rm e} \approx 6 \times 10^{19} \, {\rm m}^{-3}, P_{\rm aux} = 1.3 \, {\rm MW}$ 

- graphite probe head coated with **50-nm thick** W, Ni, Al, and C marker stripes
- probe attached to the **midplane manipulator**, the surface with the markers facing magnetic field lines (angle 45°)
- front tip of the probe  $\approx 10$  mm outside the limiter in the SOL plasma, distance from the separatrix ≈ 50 mm



#### CONCLUSIONS

- Largest erosion close to the outer strike point
- 2. Ni eroded by a factor of 5 more than W
- ERO simulations explain the **exponentially decaying** 3. erosion profiles but the amounf of erosion much smaller than experimentally observed

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out within the framework of the European Fusion Development Agreement Task Force on Plasma Wall Interactions and is partly funded by the Finnish National Technology Agency.