

# Structural analysis of the eroded CFC tiles of Tore Supra: Insights on ion transport and erosion parameters

C. Martina, R. Ruffea, G. Giacomettia, C. Pardanauda, P. Languillea, H. Hamada, G. Cartrya, T. Angota, S. Panayotisb, Y. Marandet<sup>a</sup>, E. Tsitrone<sup>b</sup>, B. Pégourié<sup>b</sup> and P. Roubin<sup>a</sup>

> <sup>a</sup>PIIM, CNRS/Université de Provence, 13397 Marseille, France <sup>b</sup>Association Euratom-CEA, IRFM, CEA Cadarache, 13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France







Load the PFCs in D Do in situ particle balance Dismantle the Toroidal Pump Limiter Do ex-situ post mortem analyses



SEM analysis of poloidal gap profile after cutting : F27T10

# toroidal gap L-Field

Cutting of the tile F27T10

toroidal gap H-Field

## Plasma parameters on the F27T10 tile

DITS

- $\begin{array}{l} \text{In S} \\ \text{Ion flux} \sim 11.6 \ 10^{21} \ \text{D}^{+} \ / \ \text{m}^{2} \ \text{s} \\ \text{duration} = 1.8 \ 10^{4} \ \text{s} \\ \text{N}_{\text{e}} = 2 \ 10^{12} \ \text{cm}^{3}, \ \text{T}_{\text{e}} = 20 \ \text{eV}, \ \text{T}_{\text{i}} = 100 \ \text{eV} \\ \text{P}_{\text{cond}} = 1.2 \ \text{MW} \end{array}$

- Cumulated operations up to DITS  $\bullet~$  Ion flux ~ 14.4  $10^{21}~D^{+}$  /  $m^{2}~s$
- duration =  $1.4 \ 10^5 \ s$  $P_{cond} = 1.5 \text{ MW}$

## Thin layer of a-C on top surface

#### SEM analysis

- Smooth surface with oblique striation
- · Exposed to high heat and particle fluxes CFC porosity partially filled by deposits
- (~10 µm) Contribution to retention
- Contrast shows fiber section



TEM analysis of FIB foil Amorphous carbon layer on top · amorphization due to ion bombardment a-C layer thickness 30±10 nm









Virgin tile edge designed with a 2 mm curvature Net erosion thickness measured on toroidal cross ection of tiles

D-stream gap deposit is <u>curved</u> / U-s D-stream is loaded / U-s gap deposit is <u>sharp</u> gap is <u>shadowed</u>

## Differential erosion fiber / matrix



Raman image

AFM analysis on F27T10 tile · Raman analysis on virgin tile Fiber lower than matrix (~ 500 nm) => Fiber erosion rate higher than

AFM image

- matrix Fiber roughness lower than matrix roughness
- $R_q = \sqrt{\frac{1}{l} \sum (h(x) \overline{h})^2}$

- Matrix (R<sub>q</sub>=11 nm)



 $I_D/I_G \Leftrightarrow disorder$ 

Fiber more disordered than matrix

l₀/lg

Conclusions

- First determination of the erosion rate a few nm/s
- First observation of rippling: oblique direction show that the poloidal component of the ion velocity is of the same order of magnitude than the toroidal component, possible origins still to unravel
- First observation of a differential erosion of fiber and matrix, importance of the carbon microstructure in the erosion rate

## This Study

Post mortem SEM, TEM, AFM, Raman analyses of the top surface of eroded TS tiles

· Experimental estimation of the erosion rate of CFC Differential fibre/matrix erosion rate

· Ripple patterning characterization on tile surface



All the TPL tiles were provided by the SEP Company and the CFC is a C/C composite, N110Sepcarb type, composed of a 3D texture of bundles of ex-PAN fibers embedded in a pyrolytic carbon matrix. Fibers and matrix are both graphitic.

## Eroded C flux and sputtering yield from SEM measurement

Scaling of gross carbon erosion in TS [Hogan,Marandet]

 $\Phi$  (C/s) = 5.10<sup>20</sup> x P<sub>cond</sub>(MW) Gross erosion x 0.4 = net erosion



MD calculations [87]. For W, impurity sputte [J. Roth, JNM, 390-391 2009]

Net erosion measured by post-mortern analysis: - Consistent with the scaling of gross carbon erosion measured in situ

- Ys higher than excepted
  - Role of chemical sputtering (T<sub>surf</sub> =500K)
  - Contribution of neutrals and impurities
    Role of porosity (C/C less dense than graphite)
  - · Role of fiber/matrix microstructure

## Ripple patterning of the tile surface



H-field