ELM simulation experiments under ITER-like conditions in a linear plasma device

G. De Temmerman¹, J.J. Zielinski¹, L. Marot², D. Mathys³, W. Melissen¹, S.J. van Diepen¹

¹FOM Institute for Plasma Physics Rijnhuizen, Ass. EURATOM-FOM, Trilateral Euregio Cluster, Postbus 1207, 3430 BE Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

²Dept of Physics, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

³Centre of Microscopy, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland



FOM Institute for Plasma Physics Rijnhuizen



Motivation (1/2)

Edge Localized Modes (ELM)

- □ Plasma instability occurring in enhanced confinement mode (H-mode)
- Rapid expulsion of energy and particles from edge plasma

Peak energy density on divertor surfaces: 5-10MJ.m⁻² for 0.2-1ms Significant threat for material lifetime

Maximum tolerable ELM size determined from plasma gun experiments

□ Energy density to be kept below 0.5MJ.m⁻²

How does it look in reality?



Inter-ELM: 10²⁴m⁻²s⁻¹

During ELMs: transient heat AND particle pulse





Motivation (2/2)

□ In ITER, an ELM will interact with a plasma exposed/modified surface







Synergistic effects?

Decrease of damage threshold

- □ Some hints of synergistic effects observed in NAGDIS/PISCES [2,3]
 - High-flux plasma+transient laser surface heating
 - Increased surface damage under simultaneous irradiation conditions
 - No transient particle flux



Combination of divertor relevant steady-state plasma and transient heat/particle pulse needed: this talk

[1] S. Lindig et al, Phys. Scr. T138 (2009), [2] S. Kajita et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 (2007), [3] K. Umstadter et al, Phys. Scr. T138 (2009)





Linear plasma device producing ITER-like divertor plasma conditions





Hydrogen plasma in Pilot-PSI



Typical parameters of Ar and H plasmas $n_e = 10^{19} \cdot 10^{21} \text{ m}^{-3}$ $T_e = 0.1 \cdot 3 \text{ eV}$ Pulsed device (4-160s depending on B)

Reproduces conditions expected in the ITER divertor (first 5cm of ITER strike point)

Ref: G.J. van Rooij, App. Phys. Lett., 90 (2007)







Transient heat/particle pulses in Pilot-PSI

Pulsed plasma source system installed on Pilot-PSI [4]

- Modified plasma source (large channel, single cathode)
- Capacitor bank parallel coupled to DC power supply
- □ Mo source in preparation



- System can operate in various gases (or mixtures): H, He, Ar, N
- Currently no repetition rate (old capacitor system, limited B field-on time)
- Comprehensive set of diagnostics: IR, VIS cameras, Thomson scattering, Calorimetry
- [4] G. De Temmerman et al, Appl. Phys. Lett, 97 (2010) 081502





Experimental procedure

- Capacitor bank discharged into plasma source
- Transient increase of voltage and current





Pulse duration 1-1.5ms (tunable) Maximum input power of 5.5MW





Plasma parameters during pulse

- **Trigger for pulsed plasma synchronized with Thomson scattering system**
- Variable delay between both systems to study the time evolution of plasma parameters



Beam broadening during pulsed plasma (around 2cm FWHM) Radial decay similar to what is observed during tokamak ELMs





Plasma parameters during pulse

Plasma parameters during pulsed depend on input power, gas flow and magnetic field



Electron temperature remains low (max 6eV): low ion energy

Ion energy can be controlled through biasing





Experimental procedure

Magnetic field triggered for 2s at 1.6T

Plasma pulsed triggered at t=1s

Peak surface temperature



Temperature risetime: 0.5-1ms relevant for Type-I ELM

For the first time, superimposition of divertor relevant steady-state plasma and transient heat/particle pulse







System output

- Target heat flux determined by IR thermography, using the THEODOR code
- Peak heat flux and energy density increase with input power
- Similar energy densities can be reached with different gases
- Peak energy density limited by pumping capability of the machine

Heat loads in excess of 1GW.m⁻²

Properties of pulsed and steady-state plasma can be tuned independently





Tungsten surface exposed to high heat flux

Tungsten target (1mm thick) exposed to 0.8MJ.m⁻² (hydrogen plasma)





- Tungsten release (neutrals) observed from the target
- WI emission front moves upstream to a distance lower than 1.5cm
- □ Velocity is about 100m.s⁻¹





Tungsten release

■ Simultaneous observations with Fast visible and IR camera



Clear threshold effect

 WI signal during He pulses lower than during H pulses (different base temperatures)

Influence of the base temperature (and near-surface gas content) on damage threshold needs to be investigated in details

At what temperature does WI emission start?



Tungsten release vs temperature

Simultaneous observations with Fast visible and IR camera





Tungsten release observed already when evaporation is negligible

Damage mechanism?





Surface damage/ H plasma

Surface damage observed by post-mortem SEM

W target/ H plasma 400 C, 40 s, 4s/shot



Surface roughening observed, increases with energy density and pulse number

Occurs at very low energy density

W targetW target400 C (steady-state), 40 s400 C (steady-state), 68







Surface damage/ H plasma

W target

600 C (steady-state), 40 s



Z M B Uni Basel

Observation of burst blister caps

10 µm

Also, loosely bound particles observed along cracked surface: source of dust?





FOM

00096845

13th PFMC/1st FEMAS conference, Rosenheim, May 2011



Surface damage/ He plasma

W target pre-exposed No pre-exposure 1800 C, 220 s, 4s/shot+pulse 1800 C, 20 s, 4s/shot+pulse





- Formation of pinholes on the surface typical of He plasma exposure
- Some holes present blistered sides...

Pre-irradiation increases surface roughening but hole formation observed already with pulsed plasma





Effect of He pre-exposure on damage

□ Helium pre-exposure strongly increases the likelihood of arcing/melting

W target pre-exposed 1800 C, 200 s











Reason for such significant melting not totally clear

Consistent observation, biasing increases the probability of melting

G. De Temmerman





Summary/ perspectives

Pulsed source system developed on Pilot to 'mimic' ITER conditions

- Generation of high heat fluxes with relevant timescales
- Independent control of steady-state and transient plasmas
- Active bias for ion energy control (presently up to 0.1keV)

❑ First experiments on damage by simultaneous steady/pulsed plasma

- □ Surface roughening observed for H at 0.15MJ.m⁻² (very low value)
- Helium-induced morphology changes make surface prone to strong melting
- Studies at higher energy densities ongoing
- Combined exposure to steady-state/transient conditions could call for redefining the maximum tolerable energy densities





Future developments

Pulsed bias

- Need to increase (and vary) ion energy during pulse
- DC bias possible (max~ -100V)
- Preliminary tests with capacitor bank on target successful (NB: problem with voltage measurement)



Magnum ELM Simulation System (MESS)

- Designed for 10Hz at 1-2GW.m⁻² /up to 70Hz for currents up to 2kA
- □ Funding agreed, under construction (completion date 09/11)
- Pulsed bias not included: additional funds to be identified







Tungsten surface exposed to high heat flux



[6] Riabinina, J. Appl. Phys., 108 (2010) 034322

G. De Temmerman





Motivation (2/2)

Some hints of synergistic effects already observed in NAGDIS/PISCES



□ Need to investigate what happens at higher flux densities

An ELM is accompanied by significant ion flux (not included in those experiments)

[2] S. Kajita et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 (2007), [3] K. Umstadter et al, Phys. Scr. T138 (2009)

G. De Temmerman

